

FLORIDA FORENSIC LEAGUE

CONGRESSIONAL DEBATE SPEAKER BALLOT

Instructions to Scorer: Please award each speaker a score of 1 to 6 (1 is low and 6 is high) in each of the five categories below. Use one ballot for each speech. The "overall" score should reflect both an average of the scores in the categories and the overall quality of the speech. Whole numbers must be used (no fractions are permitted). In addition to the numerical score evaluation, you should make as many written comments as possible. Please make sure that you complete all of the requested information.

SPEAKER'S NAME: _____ **SCHOOL:** _____

B/R # or Topic: _____ **SIDE:** Aut Spo Aff Neg

Chamber #: _____ **Session:** _____ **Segment:** _____

DELIVERY _____
--Seriousness of purpose, style, poise, & coherency

QUALITY OF ARGUMENTATION _____
--Does the speech advance debate? Or, does it merely rehash old points?

ORGANIZATION AND UNITY OF SPEECH _____
--Does it ramble? Does it develop?

EVIDENCE & LOGICAL BASIS FOR STATEMENTS _____
--Breadth of knowledge on subject; use of published evidence; reasoning skills.

OVERALL IMPACT & IMPRESSION _____

TOTAL POINT AWARD (1-6 SCALE): _____

COMMENTS:

Scorer's Signature: _____

Scorer's School: _____

FLORIDA FORENSIC LEAGUE

CONGRESSIONAL DEBATE PRESIDING OFFICER BALLOT

Instructions to Official: Please evaluate the Presiding Officer of the session by assigning a score of 1 to 3 (1 being lowest and 3 being highest) for each of the following evaluation categories. To obtain the final score, add the points from all categories (your total score will be between 4 and 12). In addition to the numerical evaluation, please make as many written comments as possible in the spaces provided.

Name of PO: _____ School: _____

Chamber #: _____ Session: _____ Segment: _____

Fairness in Recognition of Speakers/Use of Recency System: 1 2 3
Comments:

Knowledge of Parliamentary Procedure/Quality of Rulings: 1 2 3
Comments:

Control of Chamber: 1 2 3
Comments:

Delivery/Decorum: 1 2 3
Comments:

OVERALL SCORE: _____

Additional Comments:

Parliamentarian's Signature: _____

Parliamentarian's School: _____

FLORIDA FORENSIC LEAGUE

CONGRESSIONAL DEBATE RANK FORM

Instructions to Scorer: A tournament official will let you know how many legislators you need to rank. Typically, you will rank the top six (6) legislators (eight (8) if you are evaluating a Super Session) in the order that you felt they finished. Place each name and school code in your preference order (your top choice should go on the first line, your second choice on the second line, etc.) Failure to list your selections in preference order will adversely affect the tournament results, so double check this form before you submit it. **Remember that the Presiding Officer is eligible for selection. Do not, for any reason, reveal the names of your nominees and/or the order that you nominated them!!!**

Chamber #: _____ Session: _____ Segment: _____

1st Place: _____ School: _____

2nd Place: _____ School: _____

3rd Place: _____ School: _____

4th Place: _____ School: _____

5th Place: _____ School: _____

6th Place: _____ School: _____

7th Place: _____ School: _____

8th Place: _____ School: _____

The Presiding Officer of the session IS / IS NOT included in my rankings.

(circle one)

I certify that the above selections indicate my preferences based on my assessment of the quality of the overall performance of each legislator.

Scorer's Signature: _____

Official's School: _____

FLORIDA FORENSIC LEAGUE
CONGRESSIONAL DEBATE AMENDMENT FORM

Author of Amendment: _____

B/R #: _____ Title of B/R: _____

Lines Affected: _____

Amendment Wording: _____

Accepted by P.O.: Y N # of Seconds: _____ Y N

Notes on Debate:

Final Vote: Yes _____ No _____ Pass Fail

FLORIDA FORENSIC LEAGUE
CONGRESSIONAL DEBATE AMENDMENT FORM

Author of Amendment: _____

B/R #: _____ Title of B/R: _____

Lines Affected: _____

Amendment Wording: _____

Accepted by P.O.: Y N # of Seconds: _____ Y N

Notes on Debate:

Final Vote: Yes _____ No _____ Pass Fail